SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE THE CITY AND BOROUGH OF JUNEAU, ALASKA

March 15, 2022 12:00 PM
Zoom Webinar
Zoom Webinar https://juneau.zoom.us/j/92303909454 or: 1-253-215-8782 Webinar ID: 923 0390 9454
AGENDA

- I. CALL TO ORDER
- II. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
- III. ROLL CALL
- IV. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
- V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES
 - A. 2022-02-15 Systemic Racism Review Committee Minutes-Draft
- VI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION
- VII. CONSENT AGENDA

A. Legislation Introduced at March 14, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting

The following ordinance was up for introduction and the resolutions were on the consent agenda at the March 14, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting. The SRRC checklists associated with he ordinance and each resolution are in this SRRC packet. Legislation and material associated with that legislation are located in the Assembly packet (copy/paste link into preferred browser for access to the Assembly agenda page): https://juneau.org/assembly/assembly-minutes-and-agendas

Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(AD) An Ordinance Appropriating \$2,400,000 to the Manager for the Purchase of the Family Practice Building at 10301 Glacier Highway; Funding Provided by Hospital Funds.

Resolution 2981 A Resolution Supporting the People of Ukraine and Suspending the Juneau Sister City Relationship with Vladivostok, Russia.

VIII. STAFF REPORTS

- IX. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS
- X. NEXT MEETING DATE
- XI. ADJOURNMENT

Packet Page 2 of 12

ADA accommodations available upon request: Please contact the Clerk's office 36 hours prior to any meeting so arrangements can be made for closed captioning or sign language interpreter services depending on the meeting format. The Clerk's office telephone number is 586-5278, TDD 586-5351, e-mail: city.clerk@juneau.org

SYSTEMIC RACISM REVIEW COMMITTEE

February 15, 2022 12:00 PM Zoom Webinar MINUTES

I. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Worl called the Systemic Racism Review Committee to Order at 12:02 p.m.

II. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

III. ROLL CALL

Present: Chair Lisa Worl, Vice Chair Grace Lee, Dominic Branson, Carla Casulucan and Kelli Patterson

Absent: None

Staff/Other: Robert Barr, Robert Palmer, Di Cathcart, Scott Ciambor, Dave Scanlan and Assemblymember Liaison Christine Woll

Others in attendee mode: Adam Gottschalk, Benjamin Brown, Dan Bleidorn, Jill Maclean, Sherri Layne, Deb Senn, Amy Pearl, Dee Jay DeRego, Ibn Bailey

IV. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Agenda approved as presented.

V. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Minutes approved as amended. Mr. Branson requested the minutes for January 3, 2022 be amended to show he was a no vote for the North Douglas rezone ordinance up for review, that amendment has been made to the January 3, 2022 minutes.

- A. January 11, 2022 SRRC Minutes-Draft
- B. February 8, 2022 SRRC Minutes-Draft

VI. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

None

VII. CONSENT AGENDA

A. Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(Z) An Ordinance Appropriating up to \$2,000,000 to the Manager for the Purchase of a Used Gondola for Eaglecrest Ski Area; Funding Provided by General Funds.

Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(Z) was introduced at the February 7, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting and sent to the Assembly Committee of the Whole Work Session on Monday, February 14, 2022 for further discussion. The Eaglecrest Summer Operations Task Force met on Friday, February 11, 2022 at 12pm to discuss this ordinance and the Eaglecrest Board held a special meeting also on February 11, 2022 at 4:30pm to discuss this ordinance.

This Ordinance is on the Systemic Racism Review Committees consent agenda as a placeholder if the Assembly Committee of the Whole moves it out of committee and onto the February 28, 2022 Regular Assembly Meeting agenda for public hearing.

Mr. Barr read the ordinance into the record. The Assembly Committee of the Whole met and discussed this ordinance last night and forwarded it to the February 28 regular meeting for public hearing. Eaglecrest Summer Operations Task Force and Eaglecrest Board held special meetings to recommend support.

MOTION: by Ms. Lee to approve the consent agenda as presented, *hearing no objection; motion passed.*

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS

A. Training & Information on Housing Programs and Homelessness in Juneau

Scott Ciambor, CDD Planning Manager, walked the committee through a PowerPoint presentation on housing programs in Juneau; outlining the CBJ Housing Action Plan - CBJ must utilize its own resources to create more housing opportunity in the community and Social Equity - Representation and intentionality is important going forward.

Chair Worl opened the meeting up to the committee members for questions.

Ms. Patterson thanked Mr. Ciambor for the information and asked how homelessness in Juneau measures up compared to other Alaskan communities that are about the same size, how much of our homeless community is minority and how much involvement do non-profit service organizations have a seat at the table.

Mr. Ciambor stated that, in general, all the agencies that participate in homeless services in the state put information into a shared database and enter data daily. The Point-In-Time Count, started in 2016 and held annually on a day in January, is one way to gather data including demographics for that day. The Point-In-Time event along with Homeless Connect Day reaches and collects data on 200-245 people. Juneau has had a higher homeless population than other communities.

Mr. Ciambor also noted the Housing First projects were one way CBJ collaborated with other agencies such as Tlingit & Haida Central Council and Tlingit & Haida Regional Housing Authority (THRHA). Also through service grants and loans to the various organizations, whenever there is an opportunity the city should look at collaborating with THRHA.

Ms. Casulucan, does CBJ plan to follow suite with meeting the criteria to receive direct funding as Anchorage does? Mr. Ciambor stated there is a threshold barrier related to population (50,000/people); Fairbanks tried to do direct funding a decade ago and it was burdensome. CBJ's affordable Housing has been successful working in partnership with Alaska Housing Finance Corporation.

Chair Worl thanked Mr. Ciambor for the presentation and noted the homeless data could be a helpful addition to our census data on the checklist. Chair Worl asked about the Covid rental assistance program. Mr. Ciambor, the covid-19 housing assistance program was a rapid COVID-19 funding response as pass through money from Federal CARES Act to members of the community and all funds were spent.

Chair Worl asked what percentage of funding went to the people most affected. Mr. Ciambor, Finance Director Jeff Rogers, may have that demographic data. The state was involved in the tracking of how funds were utilized throughout the country and it caught communities off-guard at the fast flow of the funds and trying to get it out to the communities.

Chair Worl asked what is the average housing cost is in Juneau and what is the average subsidized costs? Mr. Ciambor noted he would have to dig for that data. On the rental market side, we have an annual market survey compiled by the State of Alaska in March and posted in September. The Juneau Economic Development Council compiles information related to single-family homes and fair market housing.

IX. STAFF REPORTS

None

X. COMMITTEE MEMBER / LIAISON COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

Ms. Lee noted she will not be able to attend the March 1, 2022 meeting.

XI. NEXT MEETING DATE

Next meeting date is set for March 1, 2022.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the committee, meeting adjourned at 1:01 p.m.

Packet Page 7 of 12

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

	mber/Title: Re				_	he Peo	ple of U	kraine a	nd Sus	pendir	ng
the Junea	u Sister City R	elationship wi	ith Vladivos	stok, Ru	ssia						
Introduce	ed: <u>3/14/2022</u>	Public Hearin	ng Date:	3/14/2	022	SRRC	Review	Date: _	3/15	5/2022	
Presente	resented By: <u>Assemblymember Gladziszewski</u> Drafted By: <u>Law</u>										
Departme	Department/Division: Administration & Law Lead Staff Contact: Robert Palmer										
Purpose o	eurpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):										
soverei would j actions CBJ's S people be resto	Russian President Vladimir Putin has engaged in an unprovoked and unjustified attack on the sovereign nation of Ukraine. The CBJ stands firmly with Ukraine and its people. This resolution would join the CBJ into the international community in strongly condemning the egregious actions of President Vladimir Putin for ordering the invasion of Ukraine and would suspend the CBJ's Sister City relationship with Vladivostok, Russia. The CBJ shall continue to support the people of Vladivostok and look forward to a time when true people-to-people cooperation can be restored. This resolution was before the Assembly Human Resources Committee agenda for review and moved forward to the Regular Assembly meeting on March 14, 2022 for action.										
Connection	on to existing I	egislation:									
Connection	on to adopted	planning docu	uments:								
****	******	***BELOW IS	FOR SRRC	MEMBI	RS TO	COMPL	ETE***	*****	****	*****	***
Step One	: What is the i	mpact of the	proposed l	legislatio	on?						
										YES	NO
	oes the propo acial/ethnic gr	-	_			-	antage :	a particu	ılar		
lj	f No, review is	completed. If	yes, go on	to the n	ext que	stion:					
b. D	oes the legisla	ition work to i	mitigate an	nd/or eli	minate :	structu	ral racisı	m			
-	f Yes, review is emaining step		f No, or Un	determi	ned, cor	ntinue t	hrough	the			

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Deta Deta Deta a.	d. ails: f. ails: h. ails:	What steepropose Have keepengaged Has public If public	eps has the dichanges y stakeho ?	ne depose s? olders v	artmen who co eceived been re	ould be pote	entially im	nsor tal	ken to	notify tl	hose impacted osed legislatio mment?	
Deta Deta	e. f. ails: g. h. ails:	What steepropose Have keepengaged Has public If public	eps has the dichanges y stakeho ?	ne depose s? olders v	artmen who co eceived been re	nt or legisla ould be pote d? eceived, wh	entially im	nsor tal	ken to	notify tl	osed legislatio	
Deta Deta	e. f. ails: g. h. ails:	Has public : Who is a	d changes y stakeho ? lic input b comment	s? olders v oeen re t has b	eceivee	d? eceived, wh	entially im	npacte	d by th	e propo	osed legislatio	
Deta	f. ails: g. h. ails: Three	Has public : Who is a	d changes y stakeho ? lic input b comment	s? olders v oeen re t has b	eceivee	d? eceived, wh	entially im	npacte	d by th	e propo	osed legislatio	
Deta	g. h. ails: Three	Has public If public : Who is a	ic input b comment	peen re t has b	eceiveo peen re	d? eceived, wh	nat is the s					
Deta	g. h. ails: Three . Wh	If public : Who is a	commen	t has b	een re	eceived, wh		ubstar	nce of t	hat cor	mment?	
ep 1	h. ails: Three	If public : Who is a	commen	t has b	een re	eceived, wh		ubstar	nce of t	hat cor	mment?	
ep 1	Three . Wh	no are the			Propo	sed Legisla	tion?					
•	. Wh	no are the			Propo	sed Legisla	tion?					
•	. Wh	no are the			Propo	sed Legisla	tion?					
b.		Asian 🗌 I	Native Ha	Africa waiiar	n Ame n or Pa	erican	er □Two				_	
	Race	Consideration	ons - Total Co	ommuni	ty is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Mino	ority			Econon Considera	
ock Gro	•	Minority Pop.	Census Tra	act/Block 0	Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tra	act/Block G		Minority Pop.	Elementary Schoo Gastineau	I Bound Title
Out the	e Road	. ор.	CT 3: Men	denhall Va	alley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Down	ntown		ор.	Harborview	Title
ut the		11.9%				42.5%		BG 1: High		20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title
ena are												Title
		10.1%				24.6%		DG 3. 11at3	/ village	30.070	Auke Bay	Title
												ising A
									h Davielas	15 00/		
												а
ong Ru		19.6%				25.9%				27.6%	Switzer Area	
		41 2%									Kodzhoff Area	
lacierw	vood/Vir	1212/0									Douglas Hwy Corri	dor
lacierw	vood/Vir	.2.270										
lacierw	wood/Vir	. 1.270										
lacierw	wood/Vír	1.212/0									YE	S
ena lont ritz (II Va lend ppe orta ong	are can Co all dei r R	area canna Creek Cove area alley withn denhall Taku r Riverside age/McGinr	area 15.5% canna Creek 14.5% Cove area 10.1% alley withn the Loop denhall Taki 27.8% or Riverside 23.1% or ge/McGinr 33.7%	area 15.5% canna Creek 14.5% Cove area 10.1% alley withn the Loop CT 4: Salm denhall Taki 27.8% or Riverside 23.1% age/McGinr 33.7% Run 19.6%	area 15.5% BG 2: Glac canna Creek 14.5% BG 3: Airp Cove area 10.1% BG 4: Rad alley within the Loop denhall Taki 27.8% BG 1: DZ/I or Riverside 23.1% BG 2: Davi age/McGinr 33.7% BG 3: Bela Run 19.6% BG 4: Twir	Array 15.5% BG 2: Glacier Valley	BG 2: Glacier Valley ! 39.8%	BG 2: Glacier Valley ! 39.8% 2. 39.8% 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3	BG 2: Glacier Valley \$ 39.8% BG2: DT/St	BG 2: Glacier Valley \$ 39.8% BG2: DT/Starr Hill	BG 2: Glacier Valley \$ 39.8% BG2: DT/Starr Hill 24.8%	BG 2: Glacier Valley 5 39.8% BG2: DT/Starr Hill 24.8% Mendenhall River

Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

d.

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?

Packet Page 10 of 12

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(AD) An Ordinance Appropriating \$2,400,000 to the Manager for the Purchase of the Family Practice Building at 10301 Glacier Highway; Funding Provided by Hospital Funds.

Introdu	ced: <u>3/14/2</u>	2 Public Hearing Date:_	4/4/22		_SRRC	Review	Date:	3/15	/22	
Present	ted By:	Manager	_	Drafted E	Зу:	Financ	e/Law			
Departi	ment/Division:	BRH	_	Lead Stat	ff Con	tact:	Rob Pa	ılmer		
Purpos	e of Legislation	(background/summary c	of intent)	:						
ensure currer medic	e the hospital hantly houses multo al care for peop	pital desires to acquire to as space to expand into a ciple established medical ale that live in the Menda o specialty care practitio	as the de practice enhall Va	mand for s, and it w lley. The h	medio vould nospita	cal care provide al would	increase conveni	s. This ent ac	prope cess to	erty
Connec	tion to existing	legislation:								
As a sı	upplemental ap	propriation, this ordinan	ce amen	ds FY22 C	BJ Bud	dget Ord	linance 2	2021-0	8(b)(a	ım).
Connec	tion to adopted	I planning documents:								
Bartle	tt Regional Hos _l	pital Facilities Master Pla	ın							
Step Or	ne: What is the	impact of the proposed	legislatio	on?						
a.	racial/ethnic g	osed legislation negative roup or otherwise perpe completed. If yes, go or	tuate sys	stemic rac	ism?	antage a	particul	ar	YES	NO
b.	_	ation work to mitigate a s completed. If No, or Ui os.						[

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Easier access to medical care for Juneau's community, as well as specialty care practitioners being located closer to patients' homes.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Detail	ls:	N/	Α

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: This request was reviewed by the Hospital Board at the February 22, 2022 meeting. This request was reviewed by the Lands, Housing, and Economic Development Committee at the March 7, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public	hearing (on this	ordinance	will be	held	on 4/4	./22.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

_	1 A / I= =		+1	:		۱ ـ ۱	١-
a.	wno	are	tne	impacted	group	S) :

\square White \square Black or African American	\square American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific	slander □Two or more races □Othe

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	Race	e Considerati	ions - Total C	ommunity is 69.	.7% White Only	- 30.3% Mino	rity			Econo Conside	
Census 1	Tract/Block Groups	Minority	Census Tr	act/Block Groups	Minority	Census Tra	ct/Block	Groups	Minority	Elementary Sch	ool Boundarie
		Pop.			Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road			CT 3: Men	denhall Valley Airp	ort/ East Valley	CT 5: Dowr	ntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the road	11.9%		BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	nlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena area	15.5%		BG 2: Glacier Valley	/ 5 39.8%		BG2: DT/S	Starr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall Riv	er
	BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flat	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	endenhall Valley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salm	on Creek/Lemon Cr	eek					Lower Income F	ousing Areas
	BG1: Mendenhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Doug	las Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: Nor	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portage/McGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Belardi Costo	0 63.8%		BG 2: We	st Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park A	.rea
	BG 4: Long Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Cro	w Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	r 41.2%								Kodzhoff Area	
										Douglas Hwy Co	rridor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings,
assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?